



- Start/Search
- Browse by Day
- Browse by Program
- Browse by Author
- Browse by Keyword
- Personal Scheduler
- ASH Meeting Home
- ASH Home

2534 High Throughput Screening, With a Flow Cytometry Automated Platform (Ex vivo Biotech), To Identify Potential Combination Partners, For The JAK 2 Inhibitor Ruxolitinib

Program: Oral and Poster Abstracts
Session: 604. Molecular Pharmacology, Drug Resistance: Poster II


Sunday, December 8, 2013, 6:30 PM-8:30 PM
 Hall E (Ernest N. Morial Convention Center)


Alicia Arenas^{1}, Pilar Hernandez-Campo^{2*}, Daniel Primo^{2*}, Santiago Barrio, PhD^{1*}, Rosa M. Ayala, MD, PhD¹, Joan Ballesteros² and Joaquín Martínez-López, MD, PhD^{1*}*


¹Hematology, Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain

²Vivia Biotech, Madrid, Spain

-Author name in bold denotes the presenting author
 -Asterisk * with author name denotes a Non-ASH member

 denotes an abstract that is clinically relevant.

 denotes that this is a recommended PHD Trainee Session.

 denotes that this is a ticketed session.

Background: Identifying the most promising synergistic drugs combinations for a drug is a challenge for researchers. Identification of optimal combinations are key and should be translated in better designed clinical trials with fewer patients and ultimately more effective treatments. Ruxolitinib is a potent JAK1/JAK2 inhibitor that has demonstrated improved survival, rapid and durable improvements in splenomegaly, in patients with myelofibrosis (MF), however although improve survival in most of patients it does not change the natural history of the disease. There is however always a drive to improve outcomes and we hypothesize that treatment with a synergistic drug could enhance the activity in MF.

Aim: To design an ex-vivo model, based on flow cytometry, to identify the most synergistic drugs with Ruxolitinib in cell lines and primary samples from MF patients.

Methods: We have studied five secondary or primary MF patients (n = 5) and one cell line, BA/F3 transfected with mutated JAK2 V617F (BA/F3 JAK2V617F). We combined Ruxolitinib with a panel of 30 drugs whose mechanism of action is implicated in proliferation, differentiation and survival, cell-cycle inhibition, protein stabilisation, epigenetic, immune response.

Briefly, mononuclear cells from peripheral blood, isolated, was cultured in Methocult TM GF_H4535 supplemented with 20 ng/ml interleukin (IL)-3, and 50 ng/ml stem-cell factor (SCF). After 2 weeks incubation, viable cells were plated at 15.000 per well in 96-well plates in increasing concentrations of each drug, alone or in combination with Ruxolitinib, in 8 or 5 point dose response curve. After 72 hr incubation, we performed a multiparametric flow cytometry, using Annexin V-fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC) and CD13 to monitoring drug sensibility of myeloid lineage, in the ExviTech platform for screening by flow cytometry. Synergism will be evaluated by the Median Effect methods described by T-C Chou and P. Talalay.

Results: In all of 5 MF patients studied, we obtained 40-100x10⁶ mononucleated cells from methylcellulose cultures to study ex vivo sensibility of drugs, enough to perform our test.

In patient samples, the most potent drug as a single agent was Panobinostat (HDAC inhibitor) with IC50 value of 10.5 nM (table 1). The combination index (CI) in patients was 0.605, indicating a synergistic interaction with Ruxolitinib, at concentrations around IC50 of each drugs ([Ruxolitinib] = 120nM and [Panobinostat] = 12nM) (table 2).

The most synergistic drugs were Everolimus (CI = 0.089), BKM120 (CI = 0.3) and LDE225 (CI = 0.4) always with drugs concentration around IC50 (table 2).

Table 1: IC50 and maximum activity of drugs in MF patient samples (n=5).

MF samples (n=5)		
Drugs	IC50 (µM)	Ymax
Ruxolitinib	0.118	23.63
BKM120	0.837	76.72
Everolimus	27.060	98.23
LDE225	9.348	48.42
Panobinostat	0.0104	92.34

Table 2: Combination Index of Ruxolitinib in combination with other drugs in patients with MF (n=5).

MF samples (n=5)				
	[Ruxolitinib] (µM)	[Drugs] (µM)	%Inhibition	CI
BKM120	0.494	0.123	43	0.361
	1.482	0.370	53	0.493
LDE225	0.370	0.160	16	6.303
	1.111	0.240	24	0.472
Panobinostat	0.041	0.006	59	2.848
	0.123	0.012	76	0.605
Everolimus	1.111	22.222	56	7.138
	3.333	66.667	97	0.089

Regarding the cell line model, it confirms the results obtained in patients samples: Panobinostat was the most potent drugs tested in the assay with an IC50 of 86 nM and the most synergistic drugs with Ruxolitinib was Everolimus (CI = 0.613 when Ruxolitinib and Everolimus were 370 nM and 7.41 1¼M respectively).

Conclusions: This Vivia *Ex vivo* platform is highly efficient to study multiple synergisms of drugs in myeloproliferative

diseases. We can test 30 drugs, inhibitors of multiple signaling pathways, epigenetics and immune response, alone or in combination with Ruxolitinib and test its activity and its potential synergy with Ruxolitinib. Based in these results, clinical trials combination Ruxolitinib with BKM120 (ongoing), Everolimus and LDE225 (ongoing) could potentially be explored in phase I clinical trials.

Disclosures: **Hernandez-Campo:** *Vivia Biotech:* Employment. **Primo:** *Vivia Biotech:* Employment. **Ballesteros:** *Vivia Biotech:* Equity Ownership. **Martínez-López:** *Vivia Biotech:* Honoraria; *Novartis:* Research Funding.

See more of: [604. Molecular Pharmacology, Drug Resistance: Poster II](#)
See more of: [Oral and Poster Abstracts](#)

[<< Previous Abstract](#) | [Next Abstract >>](#)

* *signifies non-member of ASH*

American Society of Hematology
2021 L Street NW, Suite 900, Washington, DC 20036 | Phone 202-776-0544 | Fax
202-776-0545
[Contact Us](#) | [Terms of Service](#) | [Privacy Policy](#) | [RSS](#)
Copyright ©2013 American Society of Hematology